Draft version March 29, 2017
Typeset using LaTeX **manuscript** style in AASTeX61

arXiv:1608.07372v4 [astro-ph.EP] 27 Mar 2017

THE SURFACE TEMPERATURE OF EUROPA

Yosef Ashkenazy[1]

[1] *Department of Solar Energy and Environmental Physics, BIDR, Ben-Gurion University, Midreshet Ben-Gurion, Israel*

Submitted to ApJ

ABSTRACT

Previous estimates of the surface temperature of Jupiter's moon, Europa, neglected the effect of the eccentricity of Jupiter's orbit around the Sun, the effect of the emissivity of Europa's ice, the effect of the eclipse of Europa (i.e., the relative time that Europa is within the shadow of Jupiter), and the effect of Europa's internal heating. Here we estimate the surface temperature of Europa, when Europa's obliquity, emissivity, eclipse and internal heating, as well as the eccentricity of Jupiter, are all taken into account. For a typical internal heating rate of 0.05 $\mathrm{W\,m^{-2}}$, the equator, pole, and global mean surface temperatures are 103°K, 46°K, and 96°K, respectively. We found that the temperature at the high latitudes is significantly affected by the internal heating, especially during the solstice, suggesting that measurements of high latitude surface temperatures can be used to constrain the internal heating. Based on the surface temperature, we provide a rough estimate for the ice thickness of Europa. We also estimate the incoming solar radiation to Enceladus, the moon of Saturn. Our approach and formalism can be implemented to estimate the surface temperature of other moons in the solar system.

Keywords: planets and satellites: general

Corresponding author: Yosef Ashkenazy
ashkena@bgu.ac.il

1. INTRODUCTION

Jupiter's moon, Europa, and Saturn's moon, Enceladus, are two of only a few moons in the solar system that bear the possibility of extraterrestrial life (e.g., Chyba & Phillips 2001; Pappalardo et al. 2013). Europa has a deep (∼100 km) ocean that underlies an icy shell, more than ten kilometers deep (e.g., Cassen et al. 1979; Carr et al. 1998; Kivelson et al. 2000), where chemical interactions at the rocky bottom of the ocean may enable the existence of a habitable environment (e.g., Chyba & Phillips 2001, 2002). The Voyager and Galileo (and to a lesser extent, the Cassini-Huygens and New Horizons) spacecrafts/missions discovered many interesting features of Europa including chaos terrains (Schmidt et al. 2011) and craters (Lucchitta & Soderblom 1982). More recently, based on the Hubble telescope observations, scientists raised the possibility of water vapor plumes at Europa's south pole (Roth et al. 2014; Sparks et al. 2016). Europa is one of the youngest, largest, and brightest moons in the solar system (Pappalardo et al. 2009). A basic property of Europa is its surface temperature; surface temperature is needed to calculate the properties of its icy shell and ocean dynamics. Thus, an accurate estimation of Europa's surface temperature is required.

The surface temperature of Europa was previously estimated by Ojakangas & Stevenson (1989). These authors took into account the obliquity of Europa with respect to the plane of rotation of Jupiter around the Sun. Using a surface albedo of 0.5, they found that the temperature varies from ∼110°K at the equator to ∼52°K at the poles; the mean surface temperature was found to be ∼100°K. However, this calculation of surface temperature was based on the annual mean black-body radiation such that the annual mean temperature was estimated as $\langle T_s^4 \rangle^{1/4}$ instead of $\langle T_s \rangle$ where T_s indicates the daily temperature and $\langle \cdot \rangle$ the annual mean. We show below that the polar $\langle T_s \rangle$ is lower by more than 7°K than $\langle T_s^4 \rangle^{1/4}$. In addition, the following factors were not taken into account: the eccentricity of Jupiter's orbit around the Sun, the effect of the emissivity of Europa's ice, the effect of Europa's eclipse (i.e., the time that Europa is within the shadow of Jupiter), and Europa's internal heating. The eccentricity [see Eqs. (5) and (6) below], emissivity, and internal heating factors increase the incoming radiation to Europa's surface from above and below, while the eclipse factor reduces the incoming solar radiation. In addition, a more realistic surface bolometric

Bond albedo of 0.62±0.14 (Buratti & Veverka 1983; Spencer et al. 1999) should be considered; this by itself reduces the incoming solar radiation by more than 20%. The goal of this study is to develop a more accurate estimation of the surface temperature of Europa, taking all the above factors into account. In addition, the analytic approximation developed here may be used to estimate the surface temperature of other moons in the solar system.

The brightness temperature of Europa was estimated by Spencer et al. (1999), based on measurements performed by the Galileo spacecraft. This study estimated the diurnal temperature cycle at the low latitudes to be between 86°K and 132°K and also provided spatial snapshots of surface temperatures up to, roughly, 70° latitude. Still, the diurnal mean temperature for latitudes poleward of 15° and the temperatures at the high latitudes were not estimated. The polar region temperatures are important as in these regions, the internal heating significantly affects the surface temperatures, and measurements of surface temperatures in these regions may help to estimate the internal heating rate and, consequently, the thickness of the ice. The dependence of surface temperature on the internal heating is studied here.

Below we first present our approximation for the incoming solar radiation to Europa (Section 2). We then quantify the effect of Europa's eclipse, i.e., the relative time that Europa is within the shadow of Jupiter (Section 3). Next we calculate the surface temperature of Europa (Section 4). A summary and discussion close the paper (Section 5). A very rough estimation of the mean thickness of Europa's icy shell as a function of the internal heating rate is detailed in Appendix B. The parameters that are used in this study are listed in Table 1.

2. THE INCOMING SOLAR RADIATION

We first calculate the solar radiation reaching the surface of Europa. The daily mean insolation (Milankovitch 1941; Berger 1978; Berger et al. 1993; Hartmann 1994; Ashkenazy & Gildor 2008) is given by

$$W = \frac{S_0}{\pi}\frac{[1+e\cos(\lambda-\omega-\pi)]^2}{(1-e^2)^2}(H_0\sin\phi\sin\delta+\cos\phi\cos\delta\sin H_0), \quad (1)$$

Table 1. List of parameters.

parameter	description	value
S_0	Jupiter solar constant	51 $\mathrm{W\,m^{-2}}$
e	eccentricity of Jupiter	0.048
ε	obliquity of Europa	3.7°
α_p	bolometric Bond albedo of Europa	0.62 ± 0.14
p	Europa eclipse relative time	0.033
σ	Stefan-Boltzmann constant	5.67×10^{-8} $\mathrm{W\,m^{-2}\,K^{-4}}$
ϵ	emissivity of Europa	0.94
ρ_i	density of ice	917 $\mathrm{kg\ m^{-3}}$
$c_{p,i}$	heat capacity of ice	2000 $\mathrm{J\ kg^{-1}\ K^{-1}}$
κ	ice heat diffusion constant	1.54×10^{-6} $\mathrm{m^2\ s^{-1}}$
g	surface gravity of Europa	1.314 $\mathrm{m\ s^{-2}}$
r_j	mean Jupiter-Sun distance	7.785×10^{11} m
r_e	mean Europa-Jupiter distance	6.71×10^{8} m
a_s	radius of Sun	6.96×10^{8} m
a_j	radius of Jupiter	6.99×10^{7} m
a_e	radius of Europa	1.561×10^{6} m

where S_0 is the solar constant of Jupiter, e is the eccentricity of Jupiter, ω is the precession, λ is the longitude of Jupiter with respect to its orbit around the Sun, δ is the declination angle given by $\sin\delta = \sin\varepsilon \sin\lambda$ where ε is the obliquity of Europa with respect to the plane of rotation of Jupiter around the Sun (calculated as the sum of the axial tilt of Europa, 0.1°, the inclination angle of Europa, 0.47°, and the axial tilt of Jupiter, 3.13°), and H_0 is the hour angle at sunrise and sunset given by $\cos H_0 = -\tan\phi \tan\delta$. Equation (1) is relevant for latitudes $\phi < |\pi/2 - |\delta||$, while outside

this latitude range, the insolation during the polar night is zero and during the polar day is equal to

$$W = S_0 \frac{[1 + e\cos(\lambda - \omega - \pi)]^2}{(1-e^2)^2} \sin\phi \sin\delta. \tag{2}$$

Since the obliquity of Europa is very small, we approximate $H_0 \approx \cos^{-1}(-\varepsilon \tan\phi \sin\lambda)$.

Next we focus on the annual mean insolation. For this purpose, one must take into account the derivative of the time lapse, t, with respect to the longitude, λ (Milankovitch 1941; Hartmann 1994; Ashkenazy & Gildor 2008)

$$\frac{dt}{d\lambda} = \frac{(1-e^2)^{3/2}}{[1 + e\cos(\lambda - \omega - \pi)]^2}. \tag{3}$$

Then, the relative time lapse, T, of one cycle of Jupiter around the Sun may be approximated as

$$T = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{dt}{d\lambda} d\lambda \approx 2\pi(1-e^2)^{3/2}, \tag{4}$$

as the eccentricity is much smaller than one. The annual mean insolation is then approximated by

$$\langle W \rangle = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^{2\pi} W \frac{dt}{d\lambda} d\lambda \approx \frac{S_0}{4\pi(1-e^2)^2} \left(4\cos\phi + \varepsilon^2 \sin\phi \tan\phi - \cos\phi \sin^2\varepsilon\right). \tag{5}$$

The above approximation is not valid for the polar regions, encompassing latitudes that experience polar nights/days. In these regions, the mean annual insolation is approximated by

$$\langle W \rangle_p \approx \frac{S_0}{\pi(1-e^2)^2} \left(\sin\varepsilon + \frac{\cos^2\phi}{4\sin\varepsilon}\right). \tag{6}$$

In the calculation above, we neglected the effect of Jupiter's longwave radiation as it is very small compared to the solar radiation. Jupiters longwave radiation reaching Europa is approximately 0.2 $\mathrm{W\,m^{-2}}$, and when taking the effect of the latitude (i.e., the multiplication of the cosine of latitude) into account, this radiation indeed becomes negligible.

In Fig. 1a, we plot the annual mean and solstice incoming solar radiation as a function of latitude. Both the numerically integrated and the analytically approximated annual mean curves are presented, and the two are almost indistinguishable. Note the very small level of incoming solar radiation ($\sim$1 $\mathrm{W\,m^{-2}}$) that reaches the poles, suggesting that the internal heating cannot be ignored at the high latitudes. No solar radiation reaches the winter pole during the solstice; thus, we expect the internal heating to have a large influence on surface temperature during this time.



Figure 1. (a) Annual mean (green and blue) and solstice (red) incoming solar radiation as a function of latitude. Both the numerically integrated (blue) and the analytic (green) approximation [Eqs. (5), (6)] are shown although the two are almost indistinguishable (the maximal difference between the two is 0.01 W m^{-2}). (b) Annual mean surface temperature based on $\langle T_s \rangle$ for several internal heating rates. (c) Same as b for the solstice temperature ($^\circ$K). (d) Same as c but based on $\langle T_s^4 \rangle^{1/4}$. Note that the high latitudes are more affected by the internal heating, especially during the solstice. Also note the large differences between the high latitude temperatures of small internal heating depicted in panels b and d. For simplicity, to estimate the incoming solar radiation during the solstice (panel c), we assume that $e = 0$; in panel b, we use $\omega = 0$.

The approximation we developed above is based on the assumption that the obliquity, ε, is small. This situation, however, is not the case, for example, for Enceladus, the moon of Saturn, whose

obliquity with respect to the orbit around the Sun is 27°. Enceladus is also a moon with an underlying ocean, and, like Europa, is one of the most probable places in the solar system to have extraterrestrial life. Surprisingly, our approximation for the low and mid-latitudes [Eq. (5)] holds also for the relatively large obliquity of Enceladus, while the solar radiation in the polar regions is better approximated using

$$\langle W \rangle_p \approx \frac{S_0}{\pi(1-e^2)^2}\left(\sin\varepsilon + \frac{\cos^2\phi}{2\pi\sin\varepsilon}\right). \tag{7}$$

See Fig. 2 for the numerically integrated and analytically approximated annual mean [Eq. (7)] solar radiation for Enceladus; for reference, we also include the solar radiation during the solstice.

3. THE EFFECT OF EUROPA'S ECLIPSE

Since the obliquity of both Jupiter and Europa is very small and since Europa is close to Jupiter (the distance between Europa and Jupiter is about 10 times the radius of Jupiter) but much smaller than Jupiter (the radius of Europa is about 45 times smaller than that of Jupiter), Europa passes in the shadow of Jupiter during each of Europa's days. This effect reduces the mean solar radiation. The relative time that Europa is within the shadow of Jupiter (eclipse conditions) can be approximated as the ratio between the diameter of Jupiter and the perimeter of Europa's orbit around Jupiter; it is $p = 2a_j/2\pi r_e \approx 0.033$, where a_j is the radius of Jupiter and r_e is the distance of Europa from Jupiter. Here we consider only the umbra effect and ignore the penumbra effect since (i) Jupiter is relatively very far from the Sun and since (ii) Europa is relatively close to Jupiter. See A for a more accurate estimation of the eclipse effect.

It is possible to approximate the drop in surface temperature at the end of the eclipse by considering only the internal heating and outgoing longwave radiation, using a time-dependent energy balance equation. The drop in temperature is then less than 0.3°K.

4. CALCULATION OF THE SURFACE TEMPERATURE OF EUROPA

Under the assumptions that Europa has no atmosphere and that there is no heat transport at Europa's surface, it is possible to calculate the surface temperature of Europa based on the energy



Figure 2. The incoming solar radiation as a function of latitude for Enceladus, the moon of Saturn. Both the numerically integrated and the analytic approximation are shown, and the two are almost indistinguishable except for the region around latitudes $-\pi/2+\varepsilon$ and $\pi/2-\varepsilon$ (63°S and 63°N), at the transition to the polar regions. The parameter values we used to calculate the incoming solar radiation to Enceladus are: $S_0 = 15.14$ W m^{-2}, $e = 0.055$, $\varepsilon = 27$°K, and $\alpha_p = 0.8$. For simplicity, to estimate the incoming solar radiation during the solstice, we assume that $e = 0$.

balance between the incoming heat fluxes (i.e., shortwave solar radiation and internal heating) and the outgoing longwave radiation. More specifically,

$$W(1-\alpha_p)(1-p)+Q=\epsilon\sigma T_s^4, \tag{8}$$

where W is the daily mean insolation [Eqs. (1),(2)], α_p is the planetary albedo of Europa, p is the relative time that Europa passes through Jupiter's shadow, ϵ is the emissivity of Europa (Spencer

1987), and T_s is Europa's surface temperature. Consequently, Europa's surface temperature can be expressed as

$$T_s(\phi) = \left[\frac{W(1-\alpha_p)(1-p)+Q}{\epsilon\sigma}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}}. \tag{9}$$

The annual mean surface temperature, $\langle T_s\rangle$, can be calculated using Eq. (9), (3),(4) and is

$$\langle T_s\rangle = \frac{1}{T}\int_0^{2\pi} T_s \frac{dt}{d\lambda} d\lambda. \tag{10}$$

All the parameters in Eq. (9) are well constrained except the internal heating Q. The internal heating, most probably, has spatial dependence, due to, for example, tidal heating within the ice. However, due to a lack of knowledge and large uncertainties, we assume that it is spatially constant. In addition, Tobie et al. (2003) indicated that the heat flux at Europa's surface is almost constant. [We note that it is easily possible to use spatially variable internal heating and surface albedo in Eq. (9).]

A more common way to estimate the surface temperature is using the annual mean insolation, i.e.,

$$\langle T_s^4\rangle^{1/4} = \left[\frac{\langle W\rangle(1-\alpha_p)(1-p)+Q}{\epsilon\sigma}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}}, \tag{11}$$

where $\langle W\rangle$ is the annual mean insolation given in Eq. (5). Such an approach was taken by Ojakangas & Stevenson (1989). Clearly, $\langle T_s\rangle \neq \langle T_s^4\rangle^{1/4}$. We discuss the differences between the two approaches below.

In Fig. 1b, we plot the annual mean surface temperature as a function of latitude, $\langle T_s(\phi)\rangle$ [Eq. (10)], for several internal heating rates, Q. For weak internal heating, the temperature drops sharply toward the high latitudes. This drop can be attributed to the sharply reduced solar radiation at these latitudes. For this reason, the high latitude regions are drastically influenced by the internal heating. The effect of internal heating is very dominant in the polar winter during the solstice (Fig. 1c) when the internal heating can raise the temperature by tens of degrees. The high latitude surface temperatures may be approximated in future missions to Europa, and these can shed important light on the internal heating rate of Europa.



Figure 3. The global mean (blue), minimum (poles, green), and maximum (equator, red) of Europa's surface temperature (in °K) as a function of the internal heating rate, Q (in W m^{-2}). The solid lines indicate temperatures that are based on $\langle T_s \rangle$, while the dashed lines indicate those that are based on $\langle T_s^4 \rangle^{1/4}$. Note the large difference between the two methods for polar temperature when internal heating is less than 0.1 W m^{-2}. Also note that the equatorial and global mean temperatures are almost the same when using both methods.

The annual mean surface temperature based on $\langle T_s^4 \rangle^{1/4}$ [Eq. (11)] is shown in Fig. 1d. There is a large difference between the high latitude temperatures shown in Fig. 1d and the ones shown in Fig. 1b (which is based on $\langle T_s \rangle$), especially for the more realistic small internal heating rates. For $Q = 0.05$ W m^{-2}, the annual mean polar temperature based on $\langle T_s \rangle$ is 46.1°K compared to 53.4°K based on $\langle T_s^4 \rangle^{1/4}$. Thus, the annual mean polar region surface temperatures cannot be accurately approximated using $\langle T_s^4 \rangle^{1/4}$.

The internal heating in Europa is not well constrained. In addition, it may vary spatially due to tidal heating within the ice, in both the meridional and longitudinal directions (e.g., Ojakangas & Stevenson 1989; Tobie et al. 2003; Nimmo et al. 2007). There are several sources contributing to this heating: rocky mantle (metallic core and silicate mantle) radiogenic heating (6-8 $\mathrm{mW\,m^{-2}}$, Barr & Showman 2009), tidal heating of Europa's core (e.g., 30-230 $\mathrm{mW\,m^{-2}}$, Chen et al. 2014), and tidal heating of the icy shell (Tobie et al. 2003). The tidal heating of Europa's ocean is negligible (Chen et al. 2014). In addition, when the ice is sufficiently shallow (typically, shallower than 10 km), it has only one conductive layer without a bottom convective layer, and the tidal heating of the icy shell is relatively small (Nimmo et al. 2007; Barr & Showman 2009). Below we assume that the icy shell is only conductive such that the internal heating originates in Europa's rocky mantle. Yet, it is easy to calculate the surface temperature at least when the latitudinal dependence of the tidal heating is specified.

In Fig. 3 (solid lines), we plot the global mean, minimum (polar), and maximum (equatorial) surface temperatures as a function of the internal heating, Q. The mean and maximal (equator) surface temperatures are not drastically affected by the internal heating as the main heating source is the incoming solar radiation; the mean and maximal surface temperatures increase by $\sim$3°K for an increase of internal heating from 0 to 0.5 $\mathrm{W\,m^{-2}}$. However, the polar temperature is drastically affected by the internal heating as the solar radiation is very weak in these locations. As shown in Fig. 1c, this increase is much larger during the solstice. Fig. 3 also depicts the temperatures that are based on $\langle T_s^4 \rangle^{1/4}$ (dashed lines). Here the polar temperatures are much warmer than the ones that are based on $\langle T_s \rangle$, especially for small (realistic) internal heating rates. Yet, the equatorial and global mean temperatures are almost the same when using the two methods (solid versus dashed lines), indicating that it is possible to approximate the low latitude and global mean surface temperatures of Europa based on the annual mean insolation given in Eqs. (5), (6).

The sensitivity of the surface temperature with regards to the different parameters is summarized in Table 2. The equator, pole, global mean, and low latitude (15°S–15°N) mean temperatures are given for control values and for specific parameter values that are different from the control values.

The parameters include the eccentricity, e, obliquity, ε, emissivity, ϵ, surface albedo, α_p, relative time of Europa's eclipse, p (as estimated in Section 3), and internal heating, Q. It is clear that changes in the albedo have a profound effect on the low- and mid-latitude temperatures, due to the fact that the albedo directly affects the incoming solar radiation, which more drastically affects the aforementioned latitudes. As shown in Figs. 1 and 3, the internal heating more drastically affects the polar regions, as there the incoming solar radiation heating is relatively small compared to the low latitudes. The effect of the eccentricity is small. This also follows from Eqs. (5), (6) from which one can see that the annual mean incoming solar radiation is approximately proportional to $1 + 2e^2$ since $e \ll 1$. Thus, the eccentricity increases the annual mean incoming solar radiation, but since for Europa, $e \approx 0.05$, this increase does not exceed 0.5%. The obliquity has a significant effect on the high latitudes and thus must be taken into account. When ignoring the effect of ice emissivity, the surface temperature decreases by approximately 1°K. The effect of Europa's eclipse on surface temperatures reduces the incoming solar radiation by up to 3.3%, and the associated drop in surface temperature does not exceed 1°K.

Given the above, we conclude that an accurate albedo map is essential in estimating the low and mid-latitude surface temperatures of Europa while the obliquity and internal heating rate are essential in accurately determining the temperature at the high latitudes. To a first approximation, the effects of the eccentricity and Europa's eclipse may be neglected. It is plausible that the polar regions' temperatures will be estimated/measured in the future, similar to the estimation of the low and mid-latitude temperatures that followed the Galileo spacecraft's measurements (Spencer et al. 1999). If this occurs, for example during future missions that will examine the water plumes over the south pole of Europa, it will be possible to roughly estimate the internal heating rate based on the surface temperature, especially over the winter pole during the solstice.

It is possible to provide a very rough estimate of Europa's ice thickness based on the above; see Appendix B. This estimate may be a reference thickness for studying the effect of both vertical and horizontal ice flow due to both tidal heating within the ice and ice flow due to pressure gradients that are associated with variations in ice thickness.

Table 2. Sensitivity of surface temperature (°K) of Europa to the different parameters. Control run parameters: eccentricity ($e = 0.048$), obliquity ($\varepsilon = 3.7°$), emissivity ($\epsilon = 0.94$), albedo ($\alpha_p = 0.62$), Europa eclipse relative time ($p = 0.033$), and internal heating ($Q = 0.05$ W m^{-2}).

parameter	equator	pole	global mean	15°S-15°N mean
control	103.3	46.1	96.2	103.0
$e = 0$	103.0	45.9	95.9	102.7
$\varepsilon = 0$	103.4	31.2	96.3	103.0
$\epsilon = 1$	101.7	45.4	94.8	101.5
$\alpha_p = 0.5$	110.6	48.1	103.0	110.3
$p = 0$	104.2	46.3	97.0	103.9
$Q = 0$	103.1	29.7	95.9	102.8
$Q = 0.1$ W m^{-2}	103.5	49.6	96.5	103.3
$Q = 0.5$ W m^{-2}	105.2	62.5	98.7	104.9

5. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

The Galileo mission triggered many studies regarding the moon Europa (see, Pappalardo et al. 2009). One of the observations made by the Galileo spacecraft (Photopolarimeter-Radiometer) was used to estimate Europas surface temperature (Spencer et al. 1999). Spencer et al. (1999) concentrated on low latitude temperatures, which were also relatively high, and consequently suggested either a low local albedo (0.5 compared with 0.62±0.14 of Buratti & Veverka 1983) or a very high local endogenic heating (of 1 W m^{-2}). The mean surface temperature estimation of Spencer et al. (1999) was limited to latitudes equatorward of $\sim \pm 70°$, and these approximately correspond to an internal heating of ∼0.05 W m^{-2}. The temperature estimations of Spencer et al. (1999) are not the annual mean ones. However, since the changes in the low latitude temperatures during the year are relatively small, these temperature estimations approximately represent the annual mean temperature. Still, the temperature estimations of Spencer et al. (1999) are not accurate enough to allow

extrapolation to the polar regions. In addition, the polar regions exhibit a large seasonal cycle, and thus, the temperature estimation on a given day is not sufficient to approximate the annual mean polar temperature. See Table 2 for the low latitude mean temperatures that exhibit weak sensitivity (less than 1°K) to almost all the parameters.

Future estimates of Europa's surface temperature may include the polar regions. Exact polar temperatures may help to better estimate the rate of the internal heating as these regions are only partly affected by the solar radiation and the contribution of the internal heating in these regions is large, especially during the winter solstice. Polar temperatures have hardly any diurnal variations, thus simplifying the estimation of the diurnal mean temperature. Large uncertainties are associated with the internal heating and the ice depth of Europa, and an exact estimation of the polar region temperatures may significantly reduce these uncertainties.

In summary, we developed a mathematical approximation for the global mean incoming solar radiation at Europa's surface. We used the incoming solar radiation and internal heating rate to estimate the annual mean surface temperature; this estimation takes into account the eccentricity of Jupiter, as well as Europa's obliquity, emissivity, eclipse, and internal heating. We showed that the temperature varies moderately at the low latitudes and much more drastically at the high latitudes and that the high latitudes are more drastically affected by the internal heating, especially during the solstice. For a typical internal heating rate of 0.05 $\mathrm{W\,m^{-2}}$ (e.g., Tobie et al. 2003; Nimmo et al. 2007), the equator, pole, and global mean surface temperatures are 103°K, 46°K, and 96°K, respectively. Based on the internal heating rate, we provide a very rough estimate for the mean thickness of Europa's icy shell (Appendix B). We also estimate the incoming solar radiation to Enceladus, the moon of Saturn. The approach we developed here may be applicable to other moons in the solar system.

We thank Roiy Sayag and Eli Tziperman for helpful discussions.

APPENDIX

A. MORE ACCURATE ESTIMATION FOR THE EFFECT OF THE ECLIPSE

A more accurate estimation is based on Fig. 4. The term $a_{s,j,e}$ indicates the radius of the Sun, Jupiter, and Europa, r_p is the distance between the Sun and point p, r_j is the distance of Jupiter from the Sun, r_e is the distance between Europa and Jupiter, d is the segment of the perimeter of Europa around Jupiter that is in Jupiter's shadow, and 2α is the angle between two rays from the Sun that intercept at point p. Thus,

$$\frac{d}{2} = a_s \left(1 - \frac{r_j}{r_p} - \frac{r_e}{r_p}\right) \tag{A1}$$

$$\tan\alpha = \frac{a_j}{r_p - r_j} \tag{A2}$$

$$r_p = \frac{r_j a_s}{a_s - a_j}, \tag{A3}$$

which implies that

$$d = 2a_j - 2r_e(a_s - a_j)/r_j. \tag{A4}$$

The relative time that Europa is in the shadow of Jupiter is approximately

$$p = \frac{d - a_e}{2\pi r_e} = \frac{a_j - r_e(a_s - a_j)/r_j - a_e/2}{\pi r_e}. \tag{A5}$$

For the parameter values listed in Table 1, $d \approx 2a_j$ and $p \approx a_j/(\pi r_e) = 0.033$, as indicated in Section 3.

B. ICE THICKNESS

It is possible to obtain a lower limit estimate for Europa's ice thickness based on the energy balance between the incoming solar radiation, the outgoing longwave radiation, and the internal heating. This rough estimate may be a reference thickness for studying the effect of both vertical and horizontal ice flow due to both tidal heating within the ice as well as ice flow due to pressure gradients that are associated with variations in ice thickness. Here we assume that (i) the internal heating, Q, is uniform in space and time, (ii) the icy shell is conductive but not convective such that the temperature



Figure 4. A drawing depicting the different measures that are used to calculate the time that Europa spends in Jupiter's shadow. The different measures do not reflect the real relative measures.

within the ice varies linearly with depth, and (iii) the tidal heating within the ice is negligible. These assumptions are probably valid for relatively shallow ice (shallower than 10 km) when there is only one conductive layer (Tobie et al. 2003); when there are two layers, an upper conductive layer and a lower convective layer, the temperature is approximately uniform within the convective layer (where the temperature is close to the melting temperature), and tidal heating is not negligible within this layer.

Under the above assumptions, it is possible to calculate the mean thickness of the icy shell as follows:

$$h = \rho_i c_{p,i} \kappa \frac{T_f - T_s}{Q}, \tag{B6}$$

where ρ_i is the ice density, $c_{p,i}$ is the heat capacity of the ice, κ is the heat conductance coefficient, T_f is the freezing (or melting) temperature of the ice, and h is the ice thickness. T_s (annual mean) and h depend on latitude and the freezing temperature, and T_f, depends on the thickness of the ice (through the pressure at the bottom of the ice) and on the salinity of the water as follows (Gill 1982; Losch 2008):

$$T_f = 273.16 + 0.0901 - 0.0575 \times S - 7.61 \times 10^{-8} \times P_b, \tag{B7}$$

where S is the salinity of the ocean water, P_b is the pressure (in Pa) at the bottom of the ice (i.e., $P_b = g\rho_i h$), and T_f is given in °K. Thus, T_f depends linearly on ice thickness.

The dependence of the thickness of the ice on latitude is not trivial as ice may flow due to gradients in ice thickness (see, for example, Tziperman et al. 2012). To bypass this complexity, we only estimate

the mean ice thickness by performing a spatial mean on Eq. (B6). In addition, since T_f depends on h, the global mean thickness of the ice can be estimated iteratively as follows:

$$\langle h \rangle_{j+1} = \rho_i c_{p,i} \kappa \frac{\langle T_f \rangle_j - \langle T_s \rangle}{Q}, \tag{B8}$$

where j is a counter that indicates the number of the iteration. We start the process from a typical mean freezing temperature (e.g., $T_f \approx 270°\mathrm{K}$), find the mean thickness, and then use it to estimate the new mean freezing temperature. Convergence is achieved after a few iterations.

The global mean ice thickness and freezing temperature as a function of internal heating are shown in Fig. 5. First, as predicted by Eq. (B8), the mean ice thickness, $\langle h \rangle$, inversely depends on the internal heating, Q. Second, the thickness hardly depends on the salinity of the water. Third, the mean freezing temperature converges to a constant value for realistic internal heating values (i.e., $Q > 40$ mW m^{-2}). Fourth, an increase of salinity by 100 ppt decreases the freezing temperature by 5.75°K; this is a direct consequence of Eq. (B7).

A mean ice thickness of $\langle h \rangle = 10$ km was obtained for an internal heating of $Q \approx 50$ mW m^{-2}. Below this value, the estimated mean ice thickness should be regarded as a lower limit, as an almost uniform temperature bottom convective layer may be formed, violating our assumption of a linear increase of temperature within the ice with depth. For a mean ice thickness shallower than ∼10 km, we expect one conductive layer, and the estimated mean ice thickness is more accurate.

It is possible to calculate the ice thickness and the freezing temperature as a function of latitude under the assumption that the ice does not flow and is stagnant. In this case, there is a simple energy balance between the internal heating, the incoming solar radiation and the outgoing longwave radiation at each latitude. Fig. 5c depicts the ice thickness as a function of latitude for different internal heating rates, using Eq. (B6). As expected, the ice thickness increases poleward and the equator to pole gradient becomes smaller as the internal heating increases. The gradient in thickness is on the order of a few kilometers. The freezing temperature as a function of latitude is shown in Fig. 5c. As expected, the freezing temperature is higher for shallower ice, and the thickness increases



Figure 5. (a) Global mean ice thickness as a function of the internal heating rate, Q, when the underlying ocean is fresh (blue) and salty (100 and 200 ppt, green and red). The ice thickness is hardly affected by the salinity of the ocean water. The salinity unit is "parts per thousand" (ppt), or grams of salt per kilogram of seawater. (b) Global mean freezing temperature of seawater as a function of the internal heating rate, Q, for fresh (blue) and salty (100 and 200 ppt, green and red) water. (c) Ice thickness (in km), h, and freezing temperature (in °C), T_f, as a function of latitude for internal heating of Q=0.05, 0.1 W m^{-2}. As mentioned in the text, this is a very rough estimate of the ice thickness as our underlying assumption is that the ice is stagnant and that the tidal heating is negligible.

toward the poles. The typical equator to pole freezing temperature gradient is about 0.2°C and is larger for thicker ice and a smaller internal heating rate.

REFERENCES

Ashkenazy, Y., & Gildor, H. 2008, Paleoceanography, 23, PA1206

Barr, A. C., & Showman, A. P. 2009, in Europa, ed. R. T. Pappalardo, W. B. McKinnon, & K. Khurana (The University of Arizona Press, Tucson, AZ), 405–430

Berger, A. 1978, J. Atmos. Sci., 35, 2362

Berger, A., Loutre, M. F., & Tricot, C. 1993, J. Geophys. Res., 98, 10,341

Buratti, B., & Veverka, J. 1983, Icarus, 55, 93

Carr, M. H., Belton, M. J., Chapman, C. R., et al. 1998, Nature, 391, 363

Cassen, P., Reynolds, R. T., & Peale, S. 1979, Geophys. Res. Lett., 6, 731

Chen, E. M. A., Nimmo, F., & Glatzmaier, G. A. 2014, Icarus, 229, 11

Chyba, C. F., & Phillips, C. B. 2001, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 98, 801

—. 2002, Origins of Life and Evolution of the Biosphere, 32, 47

Gill, A. E. 1982, Atmosphere–ocean dynamics (Academic Press, London), 662

Hartmann, D. 1994, Global Physical Climatology (Academic Press, San Diego), 409

Kivelson, M. G., Khurana, K. K., Russell, C. T., et al. 2000, Science, 289, 1340

Losch, M. 2008, J. Geophys. Res., 113, C08043

Lucchitta, B., & Soderblom, L. 1982, in Satellites of Jupiter, Vol. 1, 521–555

Milankovitch, M. 1941, R. Serb. Acad. Spec. Publ., 132, translated from German, Israel Program for Scientific Translations, Jerusalem, 1969

Nimmo, F., Thomas, P., Pappalardo, R., & Moore, W. 2007, Icarus, 191, 183

Ojakangas, G. W., & Stevenson, D. J. 1989, Icarus, 81, 220

Pappalardo, R., Vance, S., Bagenal, F., et al. 2013, Astrobiology, 13, 740

Pappalardo, R. T., McKinnon, W. B., & Khurana, K. 2009, Europa (The University of Arizona Press)

Roth, L., Saur, J., Retherford, K. D., et al. 2014, Science, 343, 171

Schmidt, B., Blankenship, D., Patterson, G., & Schenk, P. 2011, Nature, 479, 502

Sparks, W., Hand, K., McGrath, M., et al. 2016, The Astrophysical Journal, 829, 121

Spencer, J. R. 1987, PhD thesis, Arizona Univ., Tucson (USA)

Spencer, J. R., Tamppari, L. K., Martin, T. Z., & Travis, L. D. 1999, Science, 284, 1514

Tobie, G., Choblet, G., & Sotin, C. 2003, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 5124

Tziperman, E., Abbot, D. S., Ashkenazy, Y., et al.
2012, J. Geophys. Res., 117,
10.1029/2011JC007730